Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/209,751	MATAMA, TORU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian C Genco	2615
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, l	PTO personnel):	
1) <u>Brian C Genco</u> .	(3)	
2) <u>Carolyn Baumgardner</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>02 April 2003</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applican		entative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Ye If Yes, brief description:	es e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Stokes et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reacl	hed. g)∏ was not reached	. h)□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: In the telephone into a typographical error by the Examiner the Stokes reaction of cather Stokes reference to the rejection statements for classical (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the anallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where	terview it was pointed out by beference was not included in telaims 1-10 is here attached volume 2-5 and claim 6. The promote the examination of the amendments	applicant's representative that of the rejection statements for clair wherein the Examiner has added eriod is thus re-started her agreed would render the cla
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be atta	ached.)	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the NONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE NTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview records.	to the last Office action has a TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	lready been filed, APPLICANT FTHE SUBSTANCE OF THE

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required